

Theological Interpretation of Advancing Sustainable Development Through Innovation and Inclusivity: A Biblical and Practical Perspective

John Kobia Ataya^{1*}

¹*Kenya Methodist University, P.O. Box 267 - 60200, Meru, Kenya*

*Correspondence Email: kobiaataya@yahoo.com

Abstract

Sustainable development (SD) has become a defining global aspiration, articulated through the Brundtland Report (1987), the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) 2015–2030, and national transformation frameworks such as Kenya Vision 2030. However, limited attention has been given to the biblical and theological interpretations necessary to frame this agenda, particularly in contexts where religion significantly shapes public and community life. In Sub-Saharan Africa, and Kenya in particular, Christianity has considerable institutional reach and public influence, yet theological engagement with sustainable development remains largely reactive, pastoral, or fragmented. Consequently, secular concepts such as innovation and inclusivity are often adopted uncritically or dismissed as foreign development terminology. This paper offers a theological interpretation of sustainable development through the twin lenses of innovation and inclusivity, arguing that both concepts are rooted in biblical revelation. Through exegetical analysis of Genesis 1–2 and Luke 4, the study explores biblical themes of stewardship (*shamar/abad*), human dignity (*imago Dei*), and covenant justice (*mishpat/zedakah*) as ethical foundations for sustainable development. Innovation is interpreted as an expression of humanity's God-given creative mandate, while inclusivity is grounded in the biblical affirmation of human dignity and the kingdom of God ethic. The study adopts a qualitative approach combining biblical exegesis and theological hermeneutics to examine existing concepts, theories, and scholarship on innovation, inclusivity, and sustainable development. It proposes textual exegesis and hermeneutical interpretation as methodological tools for integrating theological perspectives into development discourse. The paper contributes to interdisciplinary dialogue between theology and development studies by providing a theological framework for sustainable development and offering practical insights for churches, faith-based institutions, universities, and government agencies. It particularly highlights the potential of theological interpretation to support the localization of sustainable development in Kenya and across Africa.

Keywords: *Sustainable development, theological interpretation, innovation, creation, inclusivity, imago Dei*

1.0 Introduction

Sustainable development emerged as a defining global concern following the 1987 Brundtland Report, *Our Common Future*, and was subsequently institutionalized through the Millennium Development Goals and, since 2015, the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. Africa faces disproportionate exposure to the challenges the SDGs seek to address, including poverty, climate vulnerability, food insecurity, and structural inequality. At the same time, religious affiliation remains exceptionally high across Sub-Saharan Africa, and religious institutions, particularly churches, are consistently among the most trusted public institutions on the continent.

Theology offers ethical grounding, motivational resources, and communal structures that secular development frameworks often lack. Historically, mission churches and their African successors pioneered much of the continent's education, healthcare, and agricultural extension infrastructure, long before development became a formal international discipline. A theological interpretation of sustainable development therefore recovers and extends an existing tradition of faith-based development practice rather than importing an entirely foreign concern.

This paper treats innovation and inclusivity as two theologically significant, cross-cutting SDG themes. Innovation, read theologically, is grounded in the creation mandate and the *imago Dei* rather than being a religiously neutral technical category. Inclusivity, read theologically, is grounded in the universal dignity conferred by the *imago Dei* and in the boundary-crossing practice of Jesus' ministry, and resonates closely with the SDG principle of leaving no one behind.

Problem Statement

Development practice frequently treats religion as peripheral, a recipient of knowledge and rarely as a resource for understanding how the world views and undertakes sustainable development. Biblical and theological interpretations of sustainable development are

rarely considered tools and methods for understanding under-development, especially in Africa. In Kenya and the general Global South, where religion particularly Christianity plays a key role in people's lives, biblical interpretation is relegated even though it holds the key to ethical and theological understanding of the concepts of innovation and inclusivity. A critical research gap exists: while the Church commands immense institutional reach and public trust in Kenya, theological engagement with sustainable development has often been reactive, pastoral, or fragmented. Secular concepts such as 'innovation' and 'inclusivity' are frequently adopted uncritically or dismissed as foreign developmental jargon. The sustainable development agenda has often been presented as a secular social, economic, technological, and political blueprint. In fact, the themes of innovation and inclusivity over time are rarely drawn from religious traditions, despite the centrality of faith to public life across much of the Global South, and especially in Sub-Saharan Africa. This absence ignores philosophical quasi-religious realities such as presented by Mbiti, who avers that "Africans are notoriously religious."

Purpose

Sustainable development is understood in this paper in the classic Brundtland sense: development that meets the present needs of society without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own. Sustainable development typically has been framed along economic, social, and environmental dimensions. This paper follows a growing body of African theological scholarship in proposing a fourth, spiritual or theological dimension, arguing that economic, social, and environmental sustainability cannot be durably secured without an underlying spiritual ethical imperative. This paper offers a theological interpretation of sustainable development advanced through the twin lenses of innovation and inclusivity, arguing that these concepts are rooted in biblical revelation. Through exegetical analysis of foundational texts including the creation narratives (Genesis 1–2) and the radical inclusivity of the kingdom

of God ethic (Luke 4), theological interpretation is central to the understanding and effective implementation of sustainable development in the Global South.

“This paper has argued that Christian theology supplies substantial, historically rooted resources for engaging sustainable development”

Objectives

1. To examine biblical foundations for sustainable development.
2. To construct a theology of innovation and inclusivity based on biblical texts.
3. To propose a practical framework and policy recommendations for churches, faith-based institutions, and government.

Research Questions

1. What biblical and theological resources support a Christian theology of sustainable development?
2. How can innovation and inclusivity be theologically understood as imperatives of sustainable development based on biblical texts?
3. What practical framework and policy recommendations can strengthen the contribution of churches and faith-based institutions to sustainable development?

Significance

The paper contributes to the emerging dialogue between theology and sustainable development, which in turn informs institutional strategy for the Church, faith-based institutions such as Kenya Methodist University, and offers policy-relevant proposals for Kenya.

2.0 Materials and Methods

Study design

This paper adopts a qualitative approach that focuses on text, context, and theological meanings that inform communities on sustainable development. The study is a desktop-based theological inquiry that combines biblical exegesis with hermeneutical interpretation.

Methods

The exegetical and hermeneutical methods are used in this desktop study to identify key theological interpretations that undergird sustainable development. A case study approach is applied to two primary texts: Genesis 1:26–28; 2:15 and Luke 4:16–21. These texts were selected because they represent, respectively, the creation mandate that grounds a theology of innovation and stewardship, and the Nazareth manifesto that grounds a theology of inclusive justice.

Data Sources

Data are found within specific biblical texts that address the themes of innovation and inclusivity. Secondary data include theological scholarship, development studies literature, and official documents such as the Brundtland Report, the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals, and Kenya Vision 2030.

Methods for Analysis

The tools of biblical exegesis and the methods of theological interpretation are adopted in this instance to provide the requisite methodology. The analysis proceeds in three stages: (1) exegetical analysis of the selected biblical texts, with attention to original Hebrew and Greek linguistic anchors; (2) hermeneutical interpretation that relates the texts to contemporary development discourse; and (3) synthetic construction of a theological framework for sustainable development.

Theoretical Framework

The study integrates four theoretical perspectives: Polycentric Governance Theory, which explains the presence of multiple actors

and decision centres in development; Social Capital Theory, which explains how trust, networks, and shared norms facilitate collective action (Putnam, 2000); Creation Stewardship, which provides a faith-based explanation for environmental responsibility; and Collaborative Governance Theory, which emphasizes shared planning and joint accountability among interdependent actors (Ansell & Gash, 2008).

3.0 Results and Discussion

Theories, Authors, and Key Concepts of Sustainable Development

The Sustainable Development Goals were adopted by the United Nations in 2015 after a long history of conversations beginning with the Stockholm Conference (1972) on environmental protection within human development, the Brundtland Report (1987) which prioritized people's needs without compromising the needs of future generations, the Rio Earth Summit (1992) which linked development to environment, the proposal of the Millennium Development Goals (2000), and finally the adoption of the SDGs in 2015 for transformation of development by 2030.

The main theories and concepts on sustainable development were proposed by Elkington (1994), who proposed that development should be balanced with the welfare of people and the state of the earth. Others such as Rockström et al. (2009) and Raworth (2017) argued that there ought to be ecological safeguards to avoid catastrophic climate changes. Sachs (2015) linked global targets of SDGs to economic policies, health, and environment. Sen (1999) further advanced the view that the focus of development requires advancing human freedoms, health, and equity for it to be sustainable.

The key concept of sustainable development is an approach proposing that human and economic development should not be exploitative but creative, not unjust but equitable, and should not only provide for current generations but ought to cater for generations to come. For sustainability to take

place, development depends on social, economic, and environmental pillars.

Biblical Foundations for Sustainable Development

To construct a robust theological foundation for sustainable development, one must go beyond proof-texting to conduct rigorous exegetical analysis of key biblical passages, recovering Hebrew and Greek linguistic anchors that speak directly to economic, social, and ecological flourishing.

Genesis Creation Narratives

The Genesis creation narratives (Genesis 1:26–28; 2:15) establish the primary theological architecture for development. In Genesis 1:26–27, human beings are created in the image and likeness of God (*imago Dei* / צֶלֶם אֱלֹהִים – *tselem Elohim*). This ontological dignity is universal, conferring inherent worth on every individual regardless of gender, class, or status. This is the basis for inclusivity. The cultural mandate in Genesis 1:28 calls humans to exercise dominion (*radah*), which in biblical theology is not tyrannical exploitation but royal representation of God's benevolent rule through responsible stewardship.

Crucially, Genesis 2:15 defines human vocation in the garden through two key Hebrew verbs: '*abad*' (עָבַד – to cultivate, serve, or work) and '*shamar*' (שָׁמַר – to guard, preserve, or keep). Sustainable development exists precisely at the intersection of '*abad*' and '*shamar*'. '*Abad*' represents the innovative drive to cultivate potential, construct infrastructure, and generate economic value. '*Shamar*' represents the inclusive, conservationist ethic that guards ecosystems and protects future generations. Development without '*shamar*' collapses into environmental degradation; preservation without '*abad*' leads to poverty and stagnation.

Luke 4:16–21

In the New Testament (Luke 4:16–21), Jesus inaugurates his public ministry in Nazareth by reading from Isaiah 61, declaring the arrival of the Kingdom of God (Βασιλεία τοῦ Θεοῦ). His manifesto in Luke 4:18–19 promises good news to the poor (*ptochos*), liberation to captives, and

recovery of sight to the blind, proclaiming the "acceptable year of the Lord" (the Jubilee cycle). Jesus' ministry demonstrates radical inclusivity: breaking socio-cultural barriers to engage Samaritans, women, tax collectors, and the diseased.

Read against its Jubilee background, this text does more than describe individual acts of compassion; it invokes a structural vision of economic and social reset. This has made Luke 4:16–19 a central text for liberation theology

and for subsequent development theology, both of which insist that authentic mission must be measured by its concrete effect on the materially and socially marginalised.

Mapping Sustainable Development Goals Within Biblical Teaching

The following matrix maps all 17 United Nations Sustainable Development Goals directly to biblical passages, linguistic anchors, theological concepts, and their convergence with African/Kenyan communal ethics.

Table 1

Sustainable Development Goals Adopted for Analysis

SDG	Verse	Term in Original Language	Theological Interpretation	Global South Understanding
SDG 1: No Poverty	Deut 15:11; Matt 25:35-40; Prov 14:31	<i>Ebyon</i> (עֲבִיּוֹן – needy); <i>Ptochos</i> (πτωχός – poor)	Pro-poor Grace & Human Dignity: Poverty oppresses God's image-bearers.	<i>Utu</i> : Treating the poor with dignity rather than paternalistic charity.
SDG 2: Zero Hunger	Ruth 2:15-16; Luke 3:10-11; Isa 25:6	<i>Lekhem</i> (לֶחֶם – food); <i>Diakonia</i> (διακονία – service)	Sabbath/Gleaning Economy: Abundance is meant for equitable distribution.	<i>Harambee</i> : Collective agricultural work & communal food security reserves.
SDG 3: Good Health	Mark 5:25-34; Jer 33:6; 3 John 1:2	<i>Shalom</i> (שָׁלוֹם – wholeness); <i>Sozo</i> (σώζω – heal/save)	Wholistic Salvation: Physical and mental healing is a Kingdom mark.	<i>Ubuntu</i> Health: Wellness is relational; illness affects the social body.
SDG 4: Quality Education	Deut 6:6-7; Prov 4:7; Hosea 4:6	<i>Chokmah</i> (חָכְמָה – wisdom); <i>Paideia</i> (παιδεία – formation)	Epistemic Stewardship: Knowledge is a divine gift for flourishing and leadership.	Mentorship: Youth formation as an institutional community task.
SDG 5: Gender Equality	Gen 1:27; Gal 3:28; Luke 8:1-3	<i>Ezer</i> (עֵזֶר – equal strength); Isotimic dignity in Christ	Ontological Parity: Equal participation of men & women in creation mandate.	Anti-patriarchy: Reclaiming historic female agency in African Christian leadership.
SDG 6: Clean Water	Exod 23:25; Isa 41:17-18; Rev 22:1	<i>Mayim Chayim</i> (מַיִם חַיִּים); <i>Katharismos</i> (cleansing)	Creation Care: Water as a sacred, life-sustaining divine commons.	<i>Harambee</i> Water: Community-managed wells and sanitation projects.
SDG 7: Clean Energy	Gen 1:3; Isa 60:19-20; Rev 21:23	<i>'Or</i> (אֹר – light); <i>Phos</i> (φῶς – light/energy)	Generative Creation Stewardship: Harnessing creation safely.	Renewable Energy: Church and university-led solar & clean technology.

SDG	Verse	Term in Original Language	Theological Interpretation	Global South Understanding
SDG 8: Decent Work	Exod 20:9-10; Lev 19:13; 1 Tim 5:18	' <i>Abad</i> (עָבַד – work/serve); <i>Axios</i> (ἄξιος – worthy wage)	Theology of Labor & Sabbath: Work is a dignified creative vocation.	Fair Labor: Wesleyan economic ethics resisting worker exploitation.
SDG 9: Industry & Innovation	Exod 31:1-5; Isa 28:24-29; Gen 11	<i>Chasav</i> (חָשַׁב – invent/design); <i>Tekhne</i> (τέχνη – skill)	Creative Vocation: Innovation reflects God's creative nature for common good.	<i>Harambee</i> Innovation: Local technological development for collective progress.
SDG 10: Reduced Inequalities	Lev 25:8-17; Luke 1:52-53; 2 Cor 8:13-15	<i>Mishpat</i> (מִשְׁפָּט – justice); <i>Isotes</i> (ἰσότης – equity)	Jubilee Restructuring: Disruption of extreme wealth concentration.	<i>Utu</i> Hospitality: Radical inclusion of marginalized groups.
SDG 11: Sustainable Cities	Jer 29:7; Zech 8:4-5; Rev 21:2	' <i>Ir</i> (עִיר – city); <i>Politeuma</i> (citizenship)	Shalom of the City: Urban centers as spaces of intergenerational safety.	Village Ethics: Urban planning rooted in social cohesion and mutual care.
SDG 12: Responsible Consumption	Prov 30:8-9; Matt 6:11; John 6:12-13	<i>Saba</i> (עָבַד – sufficiency); <i>Autarkeia</i> (contentment)	Ascetic Stewardship: Rejection of greed; trusting daily sufficiency.	Anti-consumerism: Resource hoarding viewed as anti-social in African ethics.
SDG 13: Climate Action	Rom 8:19-22; Gen 9:11-17; Rev 11:18	<i>Ktisis</i> (κτίσις – creation); <i>Shakhat</i> (destroy/ruin)	Cosmic Redemption: Faithful discipleship demands climate mitigation.	Eco-wisdom: Reclaiming indigenous eco-preservation via theology.
SDG 14: Life Below Water	Gen 1:20-22; Ps 104:25-26; Ezek 47:9	<i>Dag</i> (דָּג – marine life); <i>Thalassa</i> (sea)	Marine Integrity: Protecting Ocean and aquatic ecosystems as divine creation.	Water Stewardship: Protecting lakes (e.g., L. Victoria) and marine life.
SDG 15: Life on Land	Gen 2:15; Deut 20:19; Ps 50:10-11	<i>Shamar</i> (שָׁמַר – guard/preserve); <i>'Adamah</i> (earth/soil)	Earthkeeping: Humans as caretakers, not absolute owners of biodiversity.	Forest Preservation: Community forestry and protection of indigenous flora.
SDG 16: Peace & Justice	Isa 32:17; Micah 6:8; Rom 13:1-4	<i>Tzedekah</i> (righteousness); <i>Eirene</i> (peace/order)	Covenantal Governance: True peace (<i>shalom</i>) is the fruit of justice.	<i>Baraza</i> Councils: Community peacebuilding and transparent governance.
SDG 17: Partnerships	Eccl 4:9-12; 1 Cor 12:12-20; Eph 4:16	<i>Koinonia</i> (κοινωνία – fellowship); <i>Chabar</i> (join together)	Trinitarian Interdependence: Global solidarity reflects divine unity.	<i>Ubuntu</i> Synergy: "I am because we are" scaled to global alliances.

Exegesis of Genesis 1–2

The Genesis narratives ground human identity and vocation in two related claims: that humanity is made in the image of God (Genesis 1:26–27), and that humanity is placed in the garden "to till it and keep it" (Genesis 2:15). The Hebrew verbs underlying this commission, often rendered "work" and "keep" or "serve" and "guard," suggest a vocation of careful cultivation rather than unrestrained exploitation. This has become the basis for what is often called a theology of creation care, in which dominion (Genesis 1:28) is understood as delegated stewardship rather than domination.

This reading has not gone unchallenged. A widely cited critique, first advanced in the 1960s, argued that the dominion language of Genesis contributed historically to a Western posture of ecological exploitation. Contemporary theological scholarship has

largely responded by distinguishing the biblical concept of dominion, exercised under God and modelled on divine care, from later interpretations that severed dominion from accountability. For the purposes of this paper, it is this corrected, accountability-bound reading of dominion that grounds a Genesis-based rationale for the environmental goals of the SDG framework.

Genesis also establishes a second theme relevant to the SDGs: the shared dignity of all persons as image-bearers, prior to and independent of social status, gender, or ethnicity. This grounds goals oriented toward equality and non-discrimination. The provision narrative of Genesis 1:29–30, in which food is given for every living creature, further supplies a theological basis for goals concerned with hunger and nutrition.

Table 2

Exegesis of Genesis

Genesis Theme	Corresponding SDGs
<i>Imago Dei</i> – shared human dignity (1:26–27)	SDG 5 (Gender Equality); SDG 10 (Reduced Inequalities)
Stewardship mandate – "till and keep" (2:15)	SDG 13 (Climate Action); SDG 14 (Life Below Water); SDG 15 (Life on Land)
Provision for all creatures (1:29–30)	SDG 2 (Zero Hunger)
Sabbath and land rest (anticipated in later law)	SDG 12 (Responsible Consumption and Production)

Exegesis of Luke 4:16–19

Luke situates Jesus' return to Nazareth and his reading in the synagogue as a deliberate, programmatic opening to his public ministry (Luke 4:16–19). The passage he reads is drawn from Isaiah 61:1–2, itself rooted in the Jubilee legislation of Leviticus 25, which envisioned a periodic release from debt, servitude, and dispossession. Jesus' proclamation names four specific concerns in sequence: good news for the poor, release for captives, recovery of sight for the blind, and liberty for the oppressed, before declaring the arrival of "the year of the Lord's favour."

Read against its Jubilee background, this text does more than describe individual acts of compassion; it invokes a structural vision of economic and social reset. This has made Luke 4:16–19 a central text for liberation theology and for subsequent development theology, both of which insist that authentic mission must be measured by its concrete effect on the materially and socially marginalised, not merely by spiritual or charitable gestures.

The correspondence with the SDGs here is close and largely self-evident, as the table below indicates.

Table 3

Exegesis of Luke

Lukan/Isaianic Theme	Corresponding SDGs
Good news to the poor	SDG 1 (No Poverty); SDG 2 (Zero Hunger)
Release for captives	SDG 16 (Peace, Justice and Strong Institutions)
Recovery of sight for the blind	SDG 3 (Good Health and Well-Being)
Liberty for the oppressed	SDG 5 and SDG 10 (Gender Equality; Reduced Inequalities)
The Lord's favour (Jubilee reset)	SDG 1 and SDG 10 – structural, not merely charitable, remedy

Theological Interpretation of Innovation

In secular development discourse, innovation is frequently reduced to technological disruption, intellectual property accumulation, or market capitalization. A theological reinterpretation frames innovation as an expression of the cultural mandate (Genesis 1:28; 2:15) and divine-human co-creation. Bezalel in Exodus 31:1–5 provides a biblical paradigm: filled with the Spirit of God (*Ruach Elohim*) in wisdom, understanding, and knowledge, he was empowered to devise artistic designs and technical solutions for the Tabernacle. Innovation is thus charismatic—a divine gift intended to solve human problems and enhance flourishing.

In the Kenyan context, this aligns powerfully with the national philosophy of *Harambee* ("pulling together"). *Harambee* is not merely fundraising; it is grass-roots social innovation born out of collective resource mobilization and mutual self-reliance. When married with Christian theology, innovation shifts from individualistic profit-seeking to communal problem-solving—generating appropriate technologies in mobile finance (e.g., M-Pesa ethics), renewable energy, and climate-smart agriculture that serve the common good.

Theological Interpretation of Inclusivity

Inclusivity in secular models often centers on civil rights entitlements or demographic quotas. Biblical inclusivity, however, is grounded in the sacredness of human life derived from the *Imago Dei* (Genesis 1:26) and Christ's incarnation. In Christ, social hierarchies of ethnic, gender, and socio-economic exclusion are overcome (Galatians 3:28).

This theological reality converges with the African philosophical ethics of *Utu* (Swahili for humaneness/personhood) and *Ubuntu* ("I am because we are; and since we are, therefore I am," as articulated by John S. Mbiti). *Utu* dictates that a person's humanity is realized only in right relationship with others. Therefore, development that leaves youth, women, persons with disabilities, or rural populations behind is an ontological failure it diminishes the humanity of the whole community. Inclusivity guarantees that marginalized voices are not merely beneficiaries of development, but active co-creators of their destiny.

Institutional Application: Wesleyan Social Ethics, Kenya Vision 2030, and KeMU

Wesleyan Social Holiness and Economic Stewardship

John Wesley's 18th-century Methodist movement offers a potent historical template for integrating deep spiritual renewal with systemic social transformation. Wesley famously declared that "there is no holiness but social holiness" and formulated economic principles summarized in his sermon "The Use of Money": "Gain all you can, Save all you can, Give all you can." Wesley insisted that earning must never harm one's neighbor or environment, saving must avoid hoardative greed, and giving must build economic capacity for the vulnerable. This Wesleyan heritage provides a natural bridge between Christian faith and sustainable development practice.

The Strategic Role of Kenya Methodist University (KeMU)

As a premier faith-based institution of higher learning in East Africa, Kenya Methodist University (KeMU) occupies a strategic position to operationalize this framework through three specific vectors:

1. **Curriculum Integration:** Embedding modules on Public Theology, Eco-Theology, and Sustainable Development across all academic faculties.
2. **Contextual Research & Innovation Hubs:** Incubating green technologies, community health solutions, and ethical leadership programs.
3. **Community Engagement:** Partnering with local Methodist circuits and civic authorities to drive rural agricultural sustainability and youth empowerment.

Significance of Theological Interpretation of the SDGs

1. **Provides a moral and ethical foundation:** Theology helps move sustainable development beyond economic and technical considerations by emphasizing justice, human dignity, responsibility, compassion, and the common good.
2. **Affirms the dignity of every person:** The biblical understanding that human beings are created in the image of God (Genesis 1:27) provides a theological basis for SDGs addressing poverty, inequality, health, education, and human rights.
3. **Strengthens the commitment to social justice:** Theological reflection highlights God's concern for the poor, marginalized, vulnerable, and excluded. This reinforces SDGs 1, 5, 10, and 16, among others.
4. **Promotes responsible stewardship of creation:** The biblical concept of stewardship provides a theological foundation for environmental sustainability, climate action,

responsible consumption, and protection of biodiversity, particularly SDGs 12–15.

5. **Mobilizes faith communities:** Churches and other faith communities possess extensive networks, institutions, volunteers, schools, hospitals, and community organizations that can support practical SDG implementation.
6. **Connects development with holistic human flourishing:** Theology can challenge a purely material understanding of development. Sustainable development can be understood as promoting physical, social, economic, spiritual, relational, and environmental wellbeing.
7. **Encourages transformation of unjust systems:** Prophetic theology can help identify structural causes of poverty, exploitation, corruption, discrimination, and environmental degradation rather than focusing only on individual problems.
8. **Strengthens indigenous and contextual approaches:** African theological perspectives can bring values such as *Ubuntu*, solidarity, reciprocity, hospitality, and communal responsibility into conversations about sustainable development.
9. **Encourages intergenerational responsibility:** Theology provides a framework for understanding responsibility toward future generations. This complements the principle of meeting present needs without compromising the ability of future generations to meet theirs.
10. **Builds partnerships for sustainable development:** Theological interpretation encourages collaboration among governments, churches, universities, civil society, businesses, and communities, corresponding particularly to SDG 17: Partnerships for the Goals.

A Useful Theological Framework

The SDGs can be interpreted through five interconnected theological concepts:

**Creation → Human Dignity → Stewardship
→ Justice → Shalom**

This framework creates a movement from God's creation, through the dignity and vocation of humanity, toward responsible stewardship and social justice, ultimately seeking *shalom*—comprehensive human and ecological flourishing.

Challenges and Opportunities

Challenges

1. Doctrinal conservatism in some quarters that frames innovation and development engagement as a secular distraction from spiritual mission.
2. Resource constraints among many faith-based institutions that limit investment in technological and infrastructural innovation.
3. Persistent patriarchal and hierarchical structures that constrain full inclusivity in leadership, particularly for women, persons with disabilities, and ethnic minorities.
4. Denominational fragmentation and competition that hinder unified, coordinated development action.
5. The influence of prosperity-oriented teaching, which can distort stewardship theology toward individual accumulation rather than communal flourishing.
6. Limited integration between theological and development-studies curricula, producing clergy and lay leaders with weak development literacy.
7. Broader political and economic instability that constrains the operating environment for sustained institutional development work.

Opportunities

1. Africa's youthful population and expanding theological and university education infrastructure, positioning institutions such as Kenya Methodist University as innovation incubators.
2. Growing interfaith and ecumenical collaboration around SDG localization, including national interfaith platforms in Kenya.
3. Digital technology enabling theological education and church-led service delivery at greater scale and lower cost.
4. Diaspora and international church partnerships capable of channelling financial and technical resources.
5. The integration of theological formation into professional curricula in business, agriculture, and human resource management, modelling a genuinely holistic approach to development.

Practical Framework for Churches and Faith-Based Institutions

This paper proposes a four-pillar practical framework for churches and faith-based institutions seeking to operationalise the theological interpretation developed above:

1. **Formation:** Embedding sustainable development, innovation, and inclusivity explicitly within seminary and university curricula, following the model already emerging in professional programmes at institutions such as Kenya Methodist University.
2. **Institutional Practice:** Establishing governance structures that ensure inclusive leadership, including gender balance and disability access, consistent with the theology of inclusivity articulated above.
3. **Community Engagement:** Building structured partnerships with county governments, non-governmental organisations, and *harambee*-style community initiatives.

4. **Stewardship Accountability:** Reporting institutional activity against relevant SDG indicators and maintaining transparent stewardship of financial and material resources.

4.0 Conclusion

This paper has argued that Christian theology supplies substantial, historically rooted resources for engaging sustainable development, and that innovation and inclusivity, far from being borrowed secular values, are embedded within biblical creation theology, wisdom literature, prophetic justice, and the ministry of Jesus. African and Kenyan faith-based institutions, including church-founded universities such as Kenya Methodist University, occupy a strategically significant position from which to advance this agenda, provided that doctrinal conservatism, structural inequity, and resource constraints are honestly confronted rather than overlooked. The paper concludes with a call for the deliberate integration of theological formation with development practice, oriented toward holistic, sustainable, and genuinely inclusive transformation across the continent.

5.0 Recommendations

This study demonstrates that sustainable development is fundamentally a theological project. When secular development frameworks are grounded in biblical exegesis and enriched by African communal ethics (*Utu* and *Harambee*), they gain the moral authority and cultural resonance necessary for enduring societal transformation.

Key Strategic Recommendations:

1. **For Church Leadership:** Transition from piecemeal charity to structured transformational development programs aligned with SDGs 1–16.

2. **For Theological Institutions (KeMU):** Establish a Dedicated Center for Public Theology and Sustainable Development to train ministers and civic leaders in eco-theology and economic justice.
3. **For Policymakers (Government of Kenya):** Formally engage faith-based organizations as strategic policy co-creators rather than mere implementation sub-contractors in Vision 2030 execution.
4. **For Development Practitioners:** Incorporate indigenous spiritual worldviews into project design, respecting local religious networks as primary social capital.
5. **For Denominational Bodies:** Adopt sustainable development theological training modules within seminary and ministerial formation curricula.
6. **For Universities:** Embed SDG-aligned theological and professional training within existing academic programmes, and establish dedicated research centres on theology and development.
7. **For Local Churches:** Adopt inclusive leadership policies addressing gender and disability representation, and establish small-scale innovation or incubation funds for community development projects.
8. **For International Partners:** Channel development funding through accountable faith-based structures supported by transparent monitoring and reporting frameworks.

References

- Ataya, J. K. (2011). *New Testament texts in English: Luke & Acts*. ECKO Publishers.
- Battle, M. (1997). *Reconciliation: The Ubuntu theology of Desmond Tutu*. Pilgrim Press.
- Putnam, R. D. (2000). *Bowling alone: The collapse and revival of American community*. Simon & Schuster.
- United Nations. (1973). *Report of the United Nations Conference on the Human Environment, Stockholm, 5–16 June 1972*. United Nations. <https://digitallibrary.un.org/record/%20523249>
- World Commission on Environment and Development. (1987). *Our common future*. Oxford University Press.
- United Nations. (1992). *Report of the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development, Rio de Janeiro, 3–14 June 1992*. United Nations. <https://digitallibrary.un.org/record/154752>
- United Nations. (2000). *United Nations Millennium Declaration*. United Nations. <https://www.un.org/en/ga/president/62/pdf/priorities/mdg.pdf>
- Rockström, J., Steffen, W., Noone, K., Persson, Å., Chapin, F. S., III, Lambin, E. F., Lenton, T. M., Scheffer, M., Folke, C., Schellnhuber, H. J., Nykvist, B., De Wit, C. A., Hughes, T., van der Leeuw, S., Rodhe, H., Sörlin, S., Snyder, P. K., Costanza, R., Svedin, U., ... Foley, J. A. (2009). A safe operating space for humanity. *Nature*, 461(7263), 472–475. <https://doi.org/10.1038/461472a>
- Raworth, K. (2017). *Doughnut economics: Seven ways to think like a 21st-century economist*. Chelsea Green Publishing.
- Sachs, J. D. (2015). *The age of sustainable development*. Columbia University Press. <https://doi.org/10.7312/sach17314>
- Sen, A. (1999). *Development as freedom*. Alfred A. Knopf.